

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/737,422

Applicant(s)

MARTIN ET AL.

Examiner

Maureen M. Wallenhorst

Art Unit

1743

**All Participants:**(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst(2) Benjamin Stasa (Appl. Rep.)**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 22 August 2007**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

12, 13, 25, 26

Prior art documents discussed:

Martin et al

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Minor changes to claims 12, 13, 25 and 26 were agreed upon in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment. The Examiner also requested that Applicants file a copy of the full article to Martin et al so as to make it of record in the application.